

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,113	FAGAN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SHULAMITH H. SHAVER	1647	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SHULAMITH H. SHAVER. (3) _____.

(2) Dr. Eisenschenk. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

42, 45, 46, 51, 52, 55

Prior art documents discussed:

Baughn et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Shulamith H. Shafer/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed claim amendments to put claims in condition for allowance. The following changes were discussed: changing claim 42(b) so that claim uses closed (consisting of) language, canceling all claims which recite SEQ ID NOs:27 and 28, amending claim 55 so it overcomes any inherency in teachings of the prior art.